1 Million Copies Sold — 'The Real Anthony Fauci' — BUY TODAY!

07/21/22 • COVID › VIEWS

'Pandemic of the Boosted': UK Data Reveal 'Fatal Problem' With COVID Shots

According to an analysis by Rob Verkerk, Ph.D., of official data from the UK and Australia, people who get four or more doses of a COVID-19 vaccine are about 100 times more likely to be hospitalized than those who are unvaccinated.

By Alliance for Natural Health International

2

Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

By Rob Verkerk Ph.D.

Go on — you may have been in two minds — but that's only because you've had a bad case of cognitive dissonance no thanks to all those terrible, twisted, conspiracy theorists!

Check out the official data for England from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) — and you'll see clear evidence for why you need to get your jabs up-to-date.

You'll be over 13 times less likely to die from a death involving COVID-19. Your all-cause mortality risks, even, will be around two and a half times lower if you're fully jabbed — isn't that something of a bonus?

Office for manipulated statistics

For the data-hungry among you, it's all there in Table 3 from the latest edition of the "Deaths by vaccination status, England" dataset courtesy of the ONS's National Immunisation Management Service.

Look beneath the surface of these data and you'll find a problem, however. A fatal problem.

The same dataset also tells you that you'll be 65% more likely to die from non-COVID-19 deaths if your jabs are fully up-to-date, compared with being unvaccinated.

That simply can't be!

You can't be that much more likely to die from non-COVID-19 causes unless you make it appear that way because your numbers got jumbled deliberately or accidentally along the way.

Donate Now

You make the difference.

GUIDESTAR PLATINUM SEAL OF TRANSPARENCY 2020

Latest News

WHO Issues 'Urgent' Call to Develop Vaccines for Antibiotic Resistance, as CDC Blames Problem on Pandemic

Biden Admin Refuses to Phase Out Toxic Pesticides on National Wildlife Refuges

100+ Species at Risk of Extinction From New Insecticide, EPA Says

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

'Stunning': 1 in 5,000 COVID Shots Caused 'Serious Side Effects,' German Health Officials Admit

'Pandemic of the Boosted': UK Data Reveal 'Fatal Problem' With COVID Shots


Why Facebook May Have Your Medical Records

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name..

Last Name..

Email..

SIGN UP

This one faulty statistic stands as a stark reminder that all the ONS data for England — that are relied on well beyond the territorial boundaries of England and even the UK — are flawed.

That in turn means that data that might have convinced you or your loved ones to roll up their sleeves is WRONG. Plain and simple.

This latter point on non-COVID-19 deaths has been drawn to our attention by none other than Norman Fenton and Martin Neil, professors from Queen Mary University of London.

We've gone back to the original data that includes the latest edition of the dataset that takes in the entire period of UK vaccination from January 2021 through May 2022, in Table 3 of the ONS dataset, and we've summarized it in Table 1 (see below).

The non-COVID-19 mortality data is indicated in bold to remind you of its impossibility and that makes the rest of the data flawed too because COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 mortality data are not independent of each other.

Statistic	COVID-19 vaccination status	ASMR (per 100k person-years)	% increased risk of death for unvaccinated compared with fully vaccinated
All-cause mortality	Unvaccinated	2337.5	244.2
	Fully vaccinated	957.4	
Deaths involving COVID-19	Unvaccinated	863.2	1338.3
	Fully vaccinated	64.5	
Non-Covid-19 deaths	**Unvaccinated**	**1474.3**	**165.1**
	Fully Vaccinated	**892.9**	

Table 1. Summary mortality data from the ONS for the period January 2021 to May 2022. Credit: ONS

Professors Fenton and Neil have previously explained, here and here, how these data were corrupted. They now also show that the figure of 1474 deaths per 100,000 person-years has been massively bumped up from those in recent history, looking more or less on par with those of the fully vaccinated (see the second table in Fenton and Neil's latest article).

One wonders how the public would have responded had the misallocations occurred in the opposite direction? In fact, we know how they'd respond — and so do those responsible for implementing or ignoring the data manipulation.

Down under

Now let's leapfrog across our beautiful, yet humanity-stressed, blue planet, from England to New South Wales (NSW), Australia, a part of the world that I was fortunate to be able to call home for over a decade.

With over 95% of the NSW population having received at least one jab, Brad Hazzard, NSW health minister, has slammed the over 30% of the 8 million people of NSW saying they need to "wake up," "switch off…social media and switch on reality" and stop being so selfish.

The number of people in NSW hospitals with COVID-19 is clearly on the rise, moving toward the second highest peak since the start of the year (see figure below). Is it those infernal unvaccinated causing the problem again?



Figure 1. Number of people in New South Wales hospitals with COVID-19 by day, January 1 to July 9, 2022. Credit: NSW COVID-19 Weekly Data Overview

Well, yes, it would seem this is the official line. The latest surveillance report suggests the new waves of BA.4 and BA.5 Omicron subvariants are responsible, highlighting the recent upward trend that includes a 17% increase in hospitalizations (1,658 to 1,946) just in the last week.

The big message is the same as in England. Go get jabbed.

Take this statement from the surveillance report, for example:

> "Of the 95 people who were reported to have died with COVID-19, all were eligible for a third dose of a COVID-19 vaccine but only 62 (65% of those eligible) had received a third dose."

You can find contrary messages in the same report if you look for them.

Take this sentence that reminds us that none of the six people under 65 who died from COVID-19 were either healthy or unvaccinated:

> "Six people aged under 65 years died with COVID-19. All six cases had record of significant underlying health conditions that increase the risk of severe disease from COVID-19.
>
> "– Four of these cases had received 3 doses of a vaccine.
>
> "– Two of these cases had received 2 doses of a vaccine."

Digging beneath the surface reveals more problems with the official rhetoric.

The official NSW data from the last seven weeks — when NSW is meant to be grappling with the 'super-contagious' BA.4 and BA.5 Omicron subvariants — shows that people who had been vaccinated one (minimum) to four (maximum) times against COVID-19, were 45 times more likely to be hospitalized than if they were unvaccinated.

This was brought to our attention by Joel Smalley, analyst, in a July 19 Substack post. Joel links to a powerful interactive app that allows anyone to play with the official NSW data on hospitalizations and deaths.

So this (Figure 2 below) is what the last seven weeks of data look like, in terms of hospitalizations (both ICU and non-ICU). The tall green bars show us hospitalization from four or more doses of COVID-19 'vaccines', while the first bar in each time series (mid-blue) shows us the hospitalizations among the unvaccinated.

The data are standardized per 1 million population so we remove bias given that so many are vaccinated with one or more doses, and so few are not. You don't need to be a rocket scientist to see the mid-blue 'no dose' bars are relatively unchanged over the last six weeks and the green bars are going skyward.

It's some of the most interesting data we've seen so far points to a dose-response issue — the more doses the more vulnerable you are to hospitalization. Not what the NSW government is telling the public, despite this being their data.



Figure 2. Rate of hospitalization (ICU and non-ICU) depending on vaccination status from May 28 through to July 9, 2022. Multiplier between unvaccinated and vaccinated highlighted in red rectangle.

Of course, we're dealing with a moving target. So the same official NSW government data source during the earlier Delta phase of 2021 showed a different picture, captured by Reuters last November. Back then, the NSW data suggested the unvaccinated were 16 times more likely to end up in intensive care units or die.

The official NSW data over the last seven weeks, in which Omicron has been displacing Delta, show a different picture as revealed by the data we show in Figure 2.

When we select just ICU hospitalizations and deaths — to allow comparison with the 16-fold statistic cited by Reuters last November — we now find you're 31% more likely to go into intensive care or die if you're unvaccinated, as you can see in Figure 3 (red rectangle).

But Hazzard and co are silent on this. One wonders (or knows) why.



Figure 3. Rate of hospitalization in ICU and deaths depending on vaccination status from 28 May through to 9 July 2022. Multiplier between unvaccinated and vaccinated highlighted in red rectangle.

It gets worse when you compare the extreme ends of the official NSW dose-response data: the unvaccinated versus those vaccinated with four or more doses.

We've done this in Table 2:

A. Hospitalisations (ICU and non-ICU)			
Date	**No dose**	**1 dose**	**4+ doses**
04/06/2022	0	59.5	114.4
11/06/2022	0.9	40.1	124.4
18/06/2022	4.6	40.6	125
25/06/2022	0	25.6	158.2
02/07/2022	0.9	46.4	174.6
09/07/2022	2.8	62.4	215.1
Mean	**1.5**	**45.8**	**151.9**
B. Deaths			
Date	**No dose**	**1 dose**	**4+ doses**
04/06/2022	17.5	0	17
11/06/2022	11.1	10	12.8
18/06/2022	3.7	10.1	13
25/06/2022	11.1	5.1	31.3
02/07/2022	17.7	5.2	31.6
09/07/2022	10.3	15.6	19.4
Mean	**11.9**	**2.6**	**20.9**

Table 2. Hospitalizations and deaths in NSW among those who have received no COVID-19 vaccinations, and those who have received one or 4 or more doses. Credit: Official NSW data

Take-homes

The take-homes are staring us in the face. Whether you look at hospitalizations or deaths, those who're vaccinated with four or more doses are the worst off.

People who've received four doses plus are around 100 times more likely to be hospitalized than those who're unvaccinated.

This difference is a massive two orders of magnitude that is likely to include a hefty (read: real) signal regardless of how dirty the underlying data are. We also see what appears to be a clear dose-response — the more doses, the more likely you are to be hospitalized.

The mortality data aren't as clear, because the one-dose recipients appear the best off. This may be real, or it may be anomalous, bearing in mind the difference is less than one order of magnitude.

But should we ignore the difference between deaths among the unvaccinated and the ones injected four or more times? There are 1.75-fold more deaths among those with four plus COVID-19 injections compared with those unvaccinated.

However you choose to interpret these data, it's impossible to use them to suggest that people who've had four jabs are better off than those who are unvaccinated.

Nor are there ANY reliable data to suggest this is now a pandemic of the unvaccinated.

A pandemic of the heavily boosted

It seems the official NSW data already tell us the opposite: this is rapidly becoming a "pandemic of the vaccinated." The risks to this group of course go well beyond just COVID-19.

The public is just not being informed of the reality — and public health authorities continue to beat the same drum using a defective narrative. The English data appear different at the surface, but there is clear evidence of data manipulation.

Even the emerging science is beginning to explain why an ever greater number of COVID-19 jabs is likely to contribute to greater risks for recipients. Possible mechanisms include innate immune erosion, original antigenic sin and T-cell dysregulation.

Many of us are coming to the conclusion that we're living through the greatest ever manipulation of science, given the sheer number of people involved.

Anyone who still buys into the idea we can still vaccinate ourselves out of this surreal construct we have learned to call a 'pandemic' is likely to benefit from taking a close look at the English and NSW data discussed here, data that have been held in great esteem by authorities around the world.

Time to take a reality check. Or can you turn a blind eye and accept the same reality Brad Hazzard talks of, in the belief that more and more COVID-19 vaccination will be the solution to COVID-19 and regaining normality?

Originally published by Alliance for Natural Health International.

Rob Verkerk Ph.D. is the founder, executive & scientific director, of Alliance for Natural Health International.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Alliance for Natural Health International

The Alliance for Natural Health International is a non-profit organization with a mission to safeguard and promote natural and sustainable approaches to regenerating and managing human health worldwide.

Sign up for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. CHD is planning many strategies, including legal, in an effort to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those already injured. Your support is essential to CHD's successful mission.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed. Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

2 Comments Children's Health Defense Disqus' Privacy Policy 1 Login

Favorite 2 Tweet Share Sort by Best

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name

SYSJET · 11 minutes ago

This article omits key information. It does not correlate vaccination status with age and comorbidity. IF 100% of the very old and sick have four jabs and 60% of the rest have three or less, a placebo would produce the same results. If you don't factor age in vaccination status, you are playing the same dishonest game as the pharma.

Reply · Share ›

Double_Up · 16 minutes ago

Facts are facts and can be proven or disproven. Well done.

Reply · Share ›

Subscribe Do Not Sell My Data

Children's Health Defense™

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Its mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable, and to establish safeguards to prevent future harm.

EN

SUBSCRIBE - IT'S FREE! BECOME A MEMBER MEMBER LOGIN

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2022 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved